

REWIND & RECLAIM

# **WATERWORLD**

The Film the Press Buried Before It Could Swim

---

Booktrawler Publishing

# Contents

---

Introduction: The Punchline That Wouldn't Land

1. Chapter 1: The Script
2. Chapter 2: The Production
3. Chapter 3: The Cast
4. Chapter 4: The World
5. Chapter 5: The Score
6. Chapter 6: The Story
7. Chapter 7: The Disaster
8. Chapter 8: The Rehabilitation
9. Chapter 9: The World It Built
10. Chapter 10: The Enduring World

## Introduction: The Punchline That Wouldn't Land

---

In the summer of 1995, the American entertainment press had a joke it could not stop telling. It had two punchlines, and it used whichever fit the sentence better: *Fishtar*, named for the 1987 Dustin Hoffman comedy that had been the previous generation's byword for a bloated, doomed production. *Kevin's Gate*, named for Michael Cimino's 1980 Western that had nearly bankrupted a studio and ended careers. The joke was that Kevin Costner's new film was the latest entry in a proud tradition of expensive Hollywood catastrophes. The joke was told before the film opened. It was told while it was in production. It was, in several important respects, told before anyone had seen the film.

By the time *Waterworld* arrived in theaters on July 28, 1995, its reputation had been assembled by the press with the thoroughness of a prosecution building a case. The budget had ballooned from a planned \$100 million to something between \$172 and \$175 million, making it the most expensive film ever made at that moment in history. The production off the coast of Hawaii had been plagued by a hurricane that sank a set, by jellyfish, by near-drownings, by the premature exit of the director, and by the particular kind of media circus that gathers whenever the opportunity exists to watch something expensive fail in public. Universal had sold the studio to a new corporate parent, Seagram, mid-production, with the consequence that the costs were distributed across two balance sheets in ways that would later make the film's actual profitability nearly impossible to determine at first glance.

The opening weekend produced \$21.2 million. In any ordinary context, that number would be respectable. But it was

measured against a budget that had made it the most expensive film in history, and the press had already written the obituary, and the verdict had been delivered before the audience had a chance to form one.

What the press did not account for was what the film actually was. And what it actually was turned out to be something that an increasing number of people, across the three decades since its release, have found worth arguing about, defending, and returning to.

This is the story of how a film that Hollywood decided to treat as a punchline before it could even open became, quietly and on its own terms, something else entirely.

## **Chapter 1: The Script**

---

Peter Rader wrote the first draft of *Waterworld* in 1986, and the pitch was so nakedly direct it might have been its own elevator button. Mad Max on water. The post-apocalyptic template that George Miller had refined across *Mad Max* and *Mad Max 2: The Road Warrior*, relocated entirely to a flooded planet where the ice caps had melted, land was mythological, and the scarce commodities that people killed for were fresh water and soil rather than petroleum.

Rader had grown up in Rome, been educated at Harvard, and was working his way through the development ecosystem of mid-1980s Hollywood with the kind of high-concept spec that was the currency of the decade. His first stop with the *Waterworld* script was Roger Corman, the legendary producer of low-budget genre films whose sensibility had launched a generation of directors and who could recognize a commercial premise at

twenty paces. Corman liked the concept. The problem was that Rader's script required something that Corman had built his entire career on avoiding: actually filming on water. The costs would not stay under \$3 million no matter how the budget was rationalized, and Corman passed.

The script found its way from one set of producers to another over the following years, going from Rader's hands to a pair of independent producers, Andy Licht and Jeff Mueller, who recognized the blockbuster potential but lacked the resources to make it at scale. By 1992, the project had attracted the two names that would define its production and its mythology: Kevin Costner and Kevin Reynolds.

Costner was, at this moment, one of the most powerful figures in Hollywood. The run of films from 1987 to 1992 had been extraordinary: *The Untouchables*, *Bull Durham*, *Field of Dreams*, *Dances with Wolves* (which he directed and for which he won Academy Awards for Best Picture and Best Director), and *The Bodyguard*. He had demonstrated, across that span, that audiences would follow him through any genre and at any length. He was also, by every account, a producer with strong opinions about the material he appeared in and a tendency to act on those opinions in ways that directors, on occasion, found intrusive.

Reynolds was a longtime friend who had directed Costner in *Robin Hood: Prince of Thieves* in 1991. That film had been a massive commercial success. It had also been, by Reynolds' own account, a fraught experience in which the relationship between star and director had been tested by exactly the kind of creative-control conflicts that would resurface on *Waterworld* with far more public consequences.

David Twohy, who had written *The Fugitive* in 1993 and would later write and direct the *Riddick* franchise, came in to rewrite Rader's original script, shifting the emphasis and expanding the world. There were further passes from Marc Norman and, uncredited, from Joss Whedon, who would later describe his time on the production as seven weeks in hell, calling himself the world's highest-paid stenographer. When Whedon arrived, by his account, the last forty pages of the script had moved off the water entirely, which for a film called *Waterworld* constituted a category failure. He spent his time trying to restore the thing to its own premise.

By the time the script was ready to film, it had passed through enough hands that its DNA was visible in layers, like geological strata, and what had emerged was a story that worked in its concept and in its sequences and strained, at moments, in the connective tissue between them.

## Chapter 2: The Production

---

The decision to film *Waterworld* mostly on actual water was the decision that defined everything that followed, for better and for worse, and it was made from conviction rather than stubbornness. Reynolds wanted authenticity. He wanted the specific quality of light on open water, the way the horizon line sits differently at sea than on any painted backdrop, the particular physical reality that comes from salt air and working swells and a sky that is not a set wall. He was right that those qualities are in the finished film and that they give it a texture that no studio-bound production could have replicated. He was also right to take seriously the warning that Steven Spielberg had given Kevin Costner before production began.

Spielberg had made *Jaws* on the ocean. He had also made *Jurassic Park* in Hawaii, two years before *Waterworld* shot there, and a hurricane had disrupted that production. He told Costner, by multiple accounts, that filming on open water produced an infinite supply of problems that a land-based production never encountered, and that the budget projections were structurally incapable of accounting for most of them. He had been right about *Jaws*. He was right about *Waterworld*.

The production base was established off Kawaihae Harbor on the Big Island of Hawaii, where an enormous floating atoll set was constructed at a cost of \$22 million. It weighed more than a thousand tons, every piece of steel flown in from the mainland. Two trimarans, the sailing vessel that Costner's character, the *Mariner*, sailed through the film, were built at \$500,000 each. A model of the *Exxon Valdez*, the real oil tanker whose 1989 grounding had become a defining environmental event of the era,

was constructed to serve as the Deacon's floating headquarters.

A hurricane arrived and sank the slave colony set to the bottom of the harbor, while a second-unit crew was filming on it. The set had to be raised and rebuilt. The atoll set proved susceptible to gale-force winds that sent the entire structure spinning unpredictably in ways that made camera placement a daily negotiation with the ocean. A single ten-minute action sequence, in which fifty jet-ski-mounted attackers assault and destroy the atoll, took a month to film.

The physical toll on the cast and crew was substantial. Costner spent 157 days on set, six days a week. During a sequence requiring him to be strapped to the mast of the trimaran, a squall came in and nearly capsized the vessel with him lashed to it. His stunt double was carried out to sea and had to be located by helicopter. Stunt coordinator Norman Howell suffered compression sickness during an underwater sequence. Tina Majorino, ten years old and playing the child Enola, was stung repeatedly by jellyfish. Jeanne Tripplehorn and Majorino nearly drowned on their first day when the trimaran they were on foundered and dragged them beneath it. The water was not a metaphor. It was the production.

## **The two Kevins**

The relationship between Costner and Reynolds deteriorated through the shoot in ways that both men have described differently since, the particulars disputed but the outcome agreed upon. Costner was a producer as well as a star, with contractual authority over the direction of the film and a clear sense of how his character should be presented. Reynolds found himself in the position of a director whose authority had been structurally limited

before production began, and who was making decisions subject to revision by the man in front of the camera.

There is a quote that has circulated since the production, attributed to the crew, that describes Costner's situation as finally getting to work with his favorite actor and his favorite director, referring to Costner's tendency to direct himself. Whether it originated with Reynolds, with a crew member, or with the press is disputed. What is not disputed is that Reynolds left the production before it was finished, that Costner oversaw the editing himself, and that the film as released was cut by Costner and the studio from a longer version of which Reynolds had been the author.

Reynolds had shot a film running approximately three hours. He had, in his telling, built in passages of world-building and atmosphere that gave the story a mythic patience. The theatrical release was trimmed to 135 minutes, the losses including sequences that explained the Smokers' religious framework, the physical logistics of the world's economy, and a number of character moments that give the extended television version, which restored nearly forty minutes of footage, a substantially different quality. Reynolds's preferred cut of *Waterworld* has never been officially released. What audiences have seen is Costner's version of it.

## Chapter 3: The Cast

---

Kevin Costner plays the Mariner with a physical commitment that the film's detractors, who were numerous, consistently undervalued. The Mariner is a deliberate inversion of the decade's dominant action hero. He does not talk when he can remain silent. He does not explain himself when he can simply act. He has adapted to his world to a degree that has made him, by almost any human standard, monstrous: he has gills, he has webbed feet, he drinks his own filtered urine with the pragmatic expression of someone who has made peace with unpleasant necessities. He sells a woman into slavery in the opening scenes for a jar of dirt. The film spends its first act making you understand exactly why the humans on the floating atolls fear him, before spending its next two acts slowly dismantling the armor that adaptation has required.

This is a harder character to play than it looks. The Mariner is not a brooding romantic hero waiting to be unlocked by the right woman. He is genuinely alien to human warmth, and the process by which Helen and Enola work their way through that alienness is incremental and tentative and, crucially, never complete. He does not become a different person by the end. He becomes a version of himself that has chosen, once, to put the survival of others above his own. And then he sails away, because he belongs to the water, and the land that humanity has found belongs to people who can live on it.

Costner understood this character. He was also, by this point in his career, equipped with a talent that is easy to overlook in retrospect: the ability to hold a close-up without appearing to perform. The Mariner's face under Dean Semler's camera in the

film's quieter passages carries a quality of interior life that has nothing to do with dialogue and everything to do with a presence that had been refined across a decade of leading roles.

## **Dennis Hopper and the art of the theatrical villain**

In the opposite corner, Dennis Hopper played the Deacon with a relish that functions as the film's pressure valve. Hopper had given one of the most technically accomplished villain performances of the decade the previous year, in *Speed*, where he played a bomber with a cold, coiled intelligence that made the threat feel genuinely real. As the Deacon, he took a different approach entirely.

The Deacon is a theatrical villain in the tradition of the Shakespearean bad king, a man whose power is sustained by a performance of certainty and charisma. He preaches to his Smokers from a pulpit made of rust, invoking a religion built around the Exxon Valdez's stores of crude oil. He is funny, in the specific way that genuinely dangerous people who are also very aware of their own performance can be funny. He gives a speech about the Valdez's final resting place at the bottom of the harbor, his voice carrying the cadences of revivalism, and Hopper delivers it straight, without a wink, which is what makes it work. The Deacon believes in himself completely. His belief is what makes him terrifying. His theatricality is not a cover for his villainy but an expression of it.

The supporting cast operated in the same register of committed physicality. Jeanne Tripplehorn brought a ground-level pragmatism to Helen that kept the film from drifting into the romantic-lead conventions of the era, and Tina Majorino, already a veteran of *Corrina, Corrina* and *Andre*, gave Enola an uncanny quality, the child who has grown up in a world so strange that her

sense of the ordinary has calibrated to an entirely different frequency.

There is, in a small role as a pilot among the Smokers, a young Jack Black. It was only his fifth film. He has approximately ninety seconds of screen time and spends them entirely without understatement.

## Chapter 4: The World

---

The world of *Waterworld* is among the most fully realized environments in 1990s blockbuster cinema, and it is realized entirely through physical objects.

The production designer Dennis Gassner built the floating atoll as a structure with its own internal logic, a recycled object-civilization built from the salvage of a drowned world: metal struts and cable lashings and the specific improvised engineering of people who have had generations to figure out how to live on something that has no natural right to stay above the water. Every surface had been used for something before it was used for this. Every material had been reclaimed from somewhere else. The atoll does not look like a set. It looks like the accumulated accretion of several hundred years of scavenging ingenuity, which is what the story requires.

Dean Semler brought to the cinematography something specific that his resume explained. Semler had shot *Mad Max 2: The Road Warrior* and *Mad Max Beyond Thunderdome*. He understood viscerally how to photograph a post-apocalyptic world in which the harshness of the environment is not the backdrop to the story but is the story's primary visual argument. He shot *Waterworld* so that the ocean is never simply a setting but is always a character, its scale communicating both the freedom that the world's few adapted survivors possess and the absolute indifference with which it will kill the unadapted ones.

The *Smokers' Exxon Valdez* provided the film's most potent piece of environmental symbolism. It is the oil tanker that destroyed a real coastline, now serving as the headquarters of a gang that runs on the last of the world's petroleum reserves. That

the film does not underline the irony is the point. The Deacon does not know he is symbolic. He knows only that the oil in those tanks is the source of his power, and that when it runs out, everything his army runs on runs out with it.

## **The economy of the world**

Some of Waterworld's most specific and inventive world-building is in its margins, in the rules by which the world operates. Fresh water is the primary currency, so scarce and so valuable that no one wastes it: when the Mariner drinks from his personal recycling system, it is not presented as grotesque but as practical. Soil is even more precious: a handful of dirt can buy a woman's freedom, because soil means the possibility of growing things, and growing things means the possibility of land. The smoking Smokers are doubly damned in a world without land; their name tells you what they consume and implies what that consumption means in a world where nothing regenerates.

These details are visible to anyone watching with attention, and they accumulate into a coherent economics that makes the world feel inhabited rather than merely imagined. The atoll communities have their own customs, their own hierarchies, their own standards for who they allow aboard. The Mariner's gills mark him as other in a world that fears the other, but they also mark him as the future, the adaptation that humanity will need to survive in the world that human action made. The film does not make this argument with speeches. It makes it by showing us the Mariner living in ways the atollers cannot.

## Chapter 5: The Score

---

James Newton Howard arrived at *Waterworld* as the replacement for Mark Isham, whose original score had been dismissed mid-production by Costner on grounds that were reported variously as aesthetic and, in less charitable accounts, as personal. Isham's score has never been released. Howard was given weeks rather than months to compose something for a film that had already been through most of the ordeals a production can survive.

What he produced, under that pressure, is now considered by a significant body of film music critics to be one of the great scores of the 1990s, and Howard himself has cited it as among his best work. The expanded two-disc reissue released by La-La Land Records runs to over two hours and is, in the listening, a richer experience than its origins in a notoriously chaotic production would suggest.

The score operates on the scale of the ocean it accompanies. Howard built his main theme on a foundation of sustained strings and brass that communicates immensity rather than event, a musical correlative for a horizon with no land in it. The Mariner's theme is melodically angular, built from fourths and fifths rather than the smooth lyric intervals of a conventional romantic hero's music, suggesting someone who has organized himself around the geometry of wind and water rather than the warmth of human connection.

The Deacon's material mirrors the character's theatrical self-presentation: brass that is deliberately a little too grand, a little too confident, the music of a man who has styled himself into a legend and expects the orchestra to agree. Howard introduces

specialty percussion from Steve Porcaro alongside electronic textures that sit underneath the orchestral writing, giving the whole thing a tidal pulse that the thematic writing moves against.

The choral sequences, which recur when the film reaches for something approaching the mythic, are the score's most emotionally direct passages. When Helen and Enola finally see land, real land, after an entire film in which Dryland has been a rumor and a prophecy, Howard's choir arrives as something that sounds less like victory than like ancient confirmation, the resolution of a myth that has been building since before any of the characters were born.

The score's critical rehabilitation has paralleled the film's own, arriving in the 2000s and deepening through the streaming era as successive generations discovered that the music for the film everyone knew was a flop was doing things that most successful films' scores never attempted.

## Chapter 6: The Story

---

The structure of *Waterworld* is the *Mad Max* template executed on a different element, and the film is honest about this to the point of having hired the cinematographer who shot two of the Miller films. Peter Rader acknowledged *Mad Max* as a direct inspiration. David Twohy knew it. Even the film's marketing implicitly accepted the comparison. What is less often observed is what the template is doing differently.

The Mariner is not the same character as Max Rockatansky. Max is a man in mourning, a former civilized human being who has been stripped of his civilization and his grief and left with only the capacity for violence and the memory of what violence costs. The Mariner has no backstory to mourn. He was born into the world as it is. His alienation from human warmth is not the result of loss but of adaptation: he has become what survival required, and what survival required was the systematic elimination of anything that could become a vulnerability.

The film's story is about what happens when a man adapted for solitude is forced, by a child, into connection. Not by a romantic interest, although Helen serves that function eventually, but specifically by the child Enola. Enola has no useful concept of threat assessment, no developed capacity for the kind of constant vigilance that ocean survival demands. She is dependent in ways that the Mariner cannot afford and cannot ignore, and the story turns on his incremental discovery that the dependency is not a burden but a reason.

This is not a particularly sophisticated story. It is a classical one. The taciturn wanderer who is redeemed by the innocence he protects is a story as old as the Western, which is what *Waterworld*

is, in the purest structural sense: a Western set on water. The Mariner is Shane. He is Ethan Edwards without the pathology. He arrives, he cleans up a situation he did not create, and he rides away when it is done, because the settlement is not a place for someone like him, and he knows it.

What makes the version here worth the argument is the degree to which the film commits to the thing the Western sometimes flinches from: that the hero's departure is not romantic longing but biological necessity. The Mariner leaves because he has gills. The land is not his. He was never going to stay.

### **The Deacon as thesis**

The Deacon is the film's counter-argument to its own protagonist, and the contrast is thematic as well as dramatic.

The Mariner has adapted forward, into the world as it is. He is what the human species will need to become if it intends to survive in the world that the human species made. The Deacon has adapted backward, consolidating his power around the last reserves of the technology that made the world what it is, running an army on the petroleum that drowned the land. He is the specific form of villainy that the film is arguing against: the person who responds to catastrophe by tightening his grip on the thing that caused it, because the thing that caused it is also the source of his power.

The film makes this argument through production design and world-building rather than through dialogue, which is why it is possible to miss if you are not paying attention. But it is consistently there, in the Valdez's rusting tanks and the Smokers' chain-smoking habits and the particular exhausted desperation of

people who have committed their futures to a resource that has already run out everywhere else. The Deacon is not simply a villain who wants power. He is a villain who cannot imagine a world in which the power he holds is not the only kind that exists.

## Chapter 7: The Disaster

---

The film that arrived in theaters on July 28, 1995 had already been destroyed by its own production story.

This is a specific kind of critical and commercial failure, and it is worth distinguishing from the more common kind. Most films that fail at the box office fail because audiences see them and are disappointed, or because the marketing fails to communicate who the film is for, or because the competition is overwhelming. *Waterworld* failed for an additional and unusual reason: the story of its making had been told so repeatedly and so disparagingly in the year leading up to its release that by the time it opened, the audience had already decided what it was, based on information about the budget and the production difficulties rather than on any experience of the film itself.

The trade press had been covering the budget overruns since production began. The national press had picked up the story and amplified it into something closer to entertainment in itself. The jokes (Fishtar, Kevin's Gate) were being printed in newspapers before a single paying customer had sat down in a theater. A film marketing executive quoted at the time described the situation accurately: the negative press had essentially sentenced the film before trial.

Costner, who had already survived one round of this with *Dances with Wolves*, which the press had decided was doomed before it opened and which had then won six Academy Awards, was more familiar with the phenomenon than most. He has said in various interviews across the years since that the film is beloved around the world, and that the press's assessment of it had more to do with the story of its making than with the experience of

watching it.

## **What the numbers actually said**

The domestic box office produced \$88 million in North America. International added \$176 million. The worldwide total was \$264.2 million.

Against a production budget of \$175 million and a total cost including marketing and distribution of approximately \$235 million, the theatrical gross left the film in a deficit of somewhere between \$30 and \$100 million, depending on which accounting methodology and which studio deal structure you apply. The studio received roughly 55 percent of the theatrical gross, or approximately \$145 million, and the arithmetic is uncomfortable from there. This was not a profit.

But it also was not, by any reasonable measure, the catastrophe the press had pronounced it. *Waterworld* opened at number one at the domestic box office. It finished as the twelfth highest-grossing film of 1995 domestically, ahead of *Braveheart*, ahead of *Get Shorty*, ahead of *The Bridges of Madison County*. It performed particularly well in the international market, where audiences were not soaking in the same extended media narrative of failure and had the freedom to approach it as simply a large-scale action film set on water.

Home video and television licensing subsequently pushed the film into profit. As of 2013, the IMDB trivia section cited a net profit of \$8 million when all revenue streams were accounted for. The Universal theme park stunt show based on the film, which opened in October 1995 at Universal Studios Hollywood and is still running today at four parks worldwide, became one of the

highest-rated attractions in theme park history, winning a Thea Award from the Themed Entertainment Association in 1996. The most expensive film ever made, the one the press decided was a disaster before it opened, paid for itself.

The press never quite corrected the record.

## Chapter 8: The Rehabilitation

---

The process by which *Waterworld* has been reclaimed by successive generations is less dramatic than its initial destruction, and correspondingly less documented. Films do not generate headlines by becoming quietly beloved. The rehabilitation of *Waterworld* is not a single event but an accumulation of small reversals, the way a tide comes in.

The home-video release put the film in front of an audience that had not been marinated in the production horror stories. Children in particular had no investment in the budget mythology and experienced the film as what it was: a spectacular action film with a coherent world, a stoic antihero, a memorable villain, and sequences of practical stunt filmmaking that had not been matched in the era of its release and have rarely been matched since. The atoll attack, thirty minutes of jet skis and fire and physical combat and falling bodies and practical explosions on open water, is a technical achievement that becomes more impressive the more you understand what it required to film it.

The rise of DVD and then streaming gave new audiences access to the extended television cut, which restored the world-building that the theatrical version had sacrificed for pace. For those viewers, the film that emerged from that restoration was more patient, more atmospheric, and more complete than the theatrical version had been, and the gap between the film's reputation and their experience of watching it was wide enough to make the reputation feel simply wrong.

### **The practical effects argument**

The specific angle of *Waterworld's* rehabilitation has been the argument about physicality. As the 2000s gave way to the 2010s, and as the dominant idiom of blockbuster filmmaking shifted increasingly toward digital environments, digital stunt replacements, and the floating-green-screen world in which actors perform against nothing and the film is completed in a post-production facility months later, something specific started to happen to films like *Waterworld*.

They started to look different.

The atoll set, which had cost \$22 million and weighed a thousand tons, is a physical object. The stunts performed on and around it involved actual human beings in actual danger on actual water. When someone falls off something in *Waterworld*, there is a real person in real water, and the camera knows it, and the audience knows it, even if the audience does not consciously register why. The film carries the weight of its own making in a way that a digital production cannot, and that weight is not an accident but a consequence of the decision to build everything real.

Costner has talked about this quality as the thing that made the production worth the suffering. Reynolds, in interviews conducted after enough time had passed to make the subject less raw, has acknowledged that the film contains sequences that could not have been produced any other way. The people who have come to *Waterworld* as new viewers in the streaming era have found, in the atoll, in the trimaran, in the *Valdez*, in the physical choreography of the jet-ski assault, something that the cinema of their own era has largely stopped providing.

## Chapter 9: The World It Built

---

Waterworld built a world more completely than the film had room to show, and the things that were built and not shown are part of the story of its reclamation.

The Smokers' religion around the Exxon Valdez was substantially developed in the scenes that were cut from the theatrical version and restored in the television broadcast. The expanded version reveals a community with a genuine theology, a creation myth built around oil and fire and the sacred text of the ship's manifest, and the Deacon as its prophet. This is not a minor addition. It transforms the Deacon from an entertaining villain into a figure with genuine ideological depth, a man who has constructed meaning from catastrophe in the specific way that religious founders do, by taking the materials of disaster and calling them sacred.

The atoll communities were similarly expanded in the cut footage. Their rules of hospitality, their trading customs, their specific paranoia about water-breathers, all of it was built out in scenes that gave the world a texture that the theatrical version could only gesture at. For audiences who discovered the film through the television version rather than the theatrical release, the world was richer and the story more coherent, and the distance between that experience and the "flop" reputation was harder to understand.

The Mariner's background, almost entirely unaddressed in the theatrical version, is touched on briefly in the extended material: hints that his mutation was not random but intentional, that there are others like him, that the world is further along its adaptation than the atollers know. These threads were never developed into a

sequel, and the specific sequel project that was developed in the early 2000s was ultimately unmade, leaving a mythology that is more complete in its incompleteness than any sequel could have made it.

## Chapter 10: The Enduring World

---

Thirty years after its release, *Waterworld* occupies a peculiar position in the catalogue of late-century Hollywood blockbusters.

Its production story is better known than its story. The things that went wrong on the shoot are more frequently cited than what went right in the film. The budget mythology is more durable than the box-office arithmetic that ultimately contradicts it. And yet the film itself has not gone away.

The stunt show at Universal Studios Hollywood has now been running for three decades, outlasting most of the films of its era, maintained by successive generations of stunt performers who work within a tradition that has accumulated enough history to constitute a lineage. Four parks across two continents run the show. Audiences who have never seen the film pack the arena multiple times daily to watch people fall from great heights into water, and the applause at the end of each show is not ironic.

The film has found, in the thirty years since its release, the audience that the press decided it did not deserve. That audience is not a cult in the pejorative sense, not a collection of contrarians united by the pleasure of defending something indefensible. It is, largely, people who watched the film without the weight of the 1995 narrative attached to it and found that the experience was better than they had been led to expect, and that their enjoyment of it did not require arguing with anyone.

Costner himself, in various interviews, has maintained a consistent position. He knows what the film is. He knows what went into making it. He does not resent the press coverage, he has said, but he does not accept the verdict. "I'm not sure you know how beloved the movie is around the world," he told a journalist

who had contacted him to apologize for his coverage in 1995. "When you do know the forensics of a movie, the participation and decisions of others that one has to stand in front of, you can't help but see it differently."

He is not wrong. The forensics of *Waterworld* are extraordinary: a hurricane, a sinking set, a near-drowning at the mast, a director who walked, a score composed at emergency pace, a budget that became a national joke before the film could defend itself. And on the other side of all of that, a film with a fully realized world, a score that its composer considers among his best work, a villain performance of genuine theatrical pleasure, and an action set piece, the atoll assault, that remains one of the most physically achieved sequences of its decade.

The joke was told too early. The audience was always out there. It just needed the noise to die down before it could hear what the film was actually saying.

## **Appendices: The Numbers, the Names, and the World**

### **Appendix A: The Box Office Record**

The financial history of *Waterworld* has been obscured by the gap between what the press reported at the time and what the numbers, examined carefully, actually showed. The film was not the catastrophe that the coverage suggested.

The often-cited figure that the film lost over \$100 million at the box office is derived from comparing the studio's theatrical gross share to the total production and marketing outlay. This arithmetic is real. What it omits is the television licensing and

home-video revenue, which pushed the film into overall profit by the early 2000s. By 2013, various accounts cited a net profit of approximately \$8 million once all revenue streams were included.

The comparative domestic performance tells a clearer story:

Waterworld outgrossed Braveheart, which won five Academy Awards including Best Picture and Best Director, at the domestic box office. This fact does not appear in most summaries of the film's commercial performance.

## **Appendix B: The Score**

James Newton Howard's score for Waterworld was released on MCA Records in 1995 and reissued in an expanded two-disc edition by La-La Land Records, with a pressing of three thousand units, drawing on original session materials from Howard's personal archive. The expanded version runs to over two hours and includes previously unreleased cues from the production.

The score's rehabilitation has been significant within the film music community. Howard, who has scored films including *The Fugitive*, *The Sixth Sense*, *Unbreakable*, and multiple Christopher Nolan pictures, has identified Waterworld as among his best work. The La-La Land reissue was received with reviews that described it as one of the great action scores of its era, with particular praise for the main theme's orchestral scope and for the thematic development that runs through the score's two hours.

The score Howard replaced, by Mark Isham, was dismissed by Costner during production. Isham's work on the film has never been officially released, and the reported reasons for its rejection have varied across accounts. Howard was brought in weeks before the film's delivery date.

Selected major cues:

## **Appendix C: The World Compendium**

Waterworld built a post-apocalyptic civilization with its own economics, religion, and physical logic. The theatrical version shows part of it. The extended television version shows more. The following covers the world as constructed across both.

The Atolls. Floating communities built from salvage, anchored to the ocean floor by long chains. Their populations are self-governing, with trading customs that derive from scarcity economics: fresh water is primary currency, dirt is secondary. Atollers are deeply suspicious of mutations and of anyone who can navigate without reference to community. Their gates are genuine fortifications; they understand that the ocean is full of the Deacon's kind.

The Smokers. The Deacon's army, organized around the petroleum reserves of the Exxon Valdez. They smoke cigarettes, which is both literal and symbolic: they are consuming a resource that replenishes nothing, in a world that can no longer afford consumption without replenishment. Their jet skis are the film's most explicit post-apocalyptic vehicle design, the motorcycle gang of Miller's Mad Max films relocated to water. Their theology, substantially developed in the extended cut, treats the Valdez as a sacred object and petroleum as the substance of their god.

The Mariner. The film's name for its protagonist tells you everything the film wants you to know about him. He is not Kevin or Jack or any of the names by which you know the actor. He is simply a function, the mariner, the one who navigates. His gills and webbed feet make him the biological future of the species, the

adaptation that the human genome will require to survive in the world that the human species made. The atollers fear and shun him for this. The film regards him with the equanimity of geological time.

Dryland. The mythological object around which the story is organized: the last remaining landmass, believed by most to be a legend. The tattoo on Enola's back is a map to it. The Mariner, who has visited it, refuses to confirm its existence until the film's final movement. Dryland turns out to be Everest, or rather the summit plateau that remained above the water line when the rest of the range was submerged. It is green and habitable and real. The Mariner deposits Helen and Enola and sails away, because he belongs to the water, and the land belongs to everyone else.

The Exxon Valdez. The real oil tanker that ran aground in Prince William Sound, Alaska, in 1989, spilling eleven million gallons of crude oil and producing an environmental disaster that was still being litigated in 1995. The film's use of it as the Deacon's floating headquarters is its most deliberate piece of symbolism: the instrument of one world's ending becoming the power source of the world that followed. The Deacon does not know the history. He knows only that the tanks are full.

## **Appendix D: The Production in Numbers**

The Waterworld production is among the most documented troubled shoots in Hollywood history. The following records the specifics:

The gap between 96 scheduled shooting days and the actual production length tells the story in its most compressed form. Almost every day over schedule was caused by water: the

hurricane, the recurring gale-force winds, the tidal conditions that made camera positioning unpredictable, the safety shutdowns, and the inherent difficulty of coordinating a thousand-ton set, a rotating cast, and a camera crew on an ocean that owed the production nothing.

## **Appendix E: The Legacy**

Waterworld exists in the culture in two irreconcilable versions simultaneously.

In the first version, it is a byword for Hollywood excess, the most expensive film ever made at the time of its release, a cautionary tale about what happens when a star's creative authority overrides the practical constraints that keep productions from drowning. This version is what most people know. It is not inaccurate.

In the second version, it is a film worth watching, with a fully realized world, a stoic hero performance, a theatrical villain of genuine entertainment value, a score that the composer ranks among his best, and an action sequence built on actual water at actual scale that the digital era has not surpassed. This version is what audiences who find it without the first version's framing tend to discover.

Both versions are true. This is the specific condition of a film that was tried and convicted before it could speak for itself. The trial was conducted in public, with some justice, because the production genuinely was an extraordinary mess. The conviction, however, did not survive contact with the evidence of the finished film, and the audience that has found it over the thirty years since its release has arrived at a different verdict than the one the press

delivered in the summer of 1995.

The ocean does not have a memory. It does not carry the story of what happened on its surface. Every wave arrives as new. The film has that quality too, for anyone who comes to it without the weight of the mythology it accumulated before it could open: it arrives clean, and what it is in that condition is something worth spending 135 minutes finding out.

*THE END*